

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 09/447,800
 11/23/99
 BRINGHURST
 F
 0609,4630001

HM12/0608

STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN & FOX P L L C ATTORNEYS AT LAW 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE N W SUITE 600 WASHINGTON DC 20005-3934

EXAMINER WEGERT, S

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
1647 9

DATE MAILED:

06/08/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
		09/447,800	BRINGHURST E	BRINGHURST ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Sandra Wegert	1647		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 h	<u>//ay 2000</u> .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)[6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claims 1-29 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
Attachment(s)					
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 19) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 20) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/447,800

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, drawn to polypeptides and a composition comprising polypeptides, classified in class 530, subclass 351+.
- II. Claims 9-18, drawn to a polynucleotide encoding a polypeptide, vectors, and methods for making a recombinant host cell, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1+.
- III. Claims 19-20, and 23-29, drawn to a method of treatment using a polypeptide, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1.
- IV. Claim 21, drawn to a method for determining rates of bone formation, classified in class514, subclass 12.
- V. Claim 22, drawn to a method of gene therapy, classified in class 514, subclass 44.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Invention I and Invention II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05 (f)). In the instant case the polypeptide can be synthesized by chemical means or isolated from a natural source.

Invention I is related to each of Inventions III and IV as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product

Application/Control Number: 09/447,800

Art Unit: 1647

as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the peptide of Invention I can be used to make an antibody.

Inventions I and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together.

The methods of Invention II, III, IV, and V are independent and distinct, each from the other, because the methods are practiced with materially different process steps for materially different purposes and each method requires a non-coextensive search because of different starting materials, process steps, and goals.

Species Elections

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed Inventive Groups II and V. If applicant selects one of Inventions II and V above, one species of nucleic acid (a-d) must also be selected to be considered responsive.

- a) SEQ ID NO: 1,
- b) SEQ ID NO: 2,
- c) SEQ ID NO: 3, or
- d) SEQ ID NO: 4,

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed Inventive Groups I, III and IV. If applicant selects one of Inventions I, III, and IV above, one species of peptide (a-d) must also be selected to be considered responsive.

Art Unit: 1647

- a) SEQ ID NO: 5,
- b) SEQ ID NO: 6,
- c) SEQ ID NO: 7, or
- d) SEQ ID NO: 8,

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, Claims 1, 9, 19, 21, and 22 are found to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the grounds that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/447,800

Art Unit: 1647

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate

status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes

as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of

the invention to be examined as well as an election of the species even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Sandra Wegert whose telephone number is (703) 308-9346. The examiner can normally be

reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern Time). If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached at (703)

308-4623.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Any inquiry of a general nature

or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist

whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

SLW

6/6/01

Elyabet C. Kennie

Page 5

ELIZABETH KEMMERER PRIMARY EXAMINER